

Decision Notice

Committee: Surrey Heath Local Committee

Date of meeting: Thursday, 8 December 2016

Note: Decisions in **bold** type indicate a decision differing from the recommendation in the report.

The following decisions were approved by the Surrey Heath Local Committee on Thursday, 8 December 2016 and will take effect on XXXXXX unless the call-in procedure has been triggered. The call in procedure applies to executive functions of the local committee only. **CALL- IN DEADLINE: XXXXXXXX.**

The following represents a summary of the decisions taken by the Committee. It is not intended to represent the formal record of the meeting but to facilitate the call-in process.

[The alternative options considered and rejected by the Committee in taking the following decisions are set out in the reports circulated with the agenda for the meeting.]

To request a call-in on any of these matters, please contact **Nikkie Thornton-Bryar** on **01276 800269**.

2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING	The minutes of the last meeting were agreed and signed by the Chair.
8	Deferred Petition Response - Church Lane, Bisley	<p>The Local Committee received a signed petition by 129 local residents requesting to extend the current 30mph speed limit on Church Lane from St John the Baptist Church to the start of the one way system from Woking.</p> <p>Following further review of the situation, it was agreed that a combination of moving the current 30mph speed limit outside the residential area with physical features will improve the environment for those on Church Lane.</p> <p>The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) agreed to:</p>

Decision Notice

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order to extend the current 30mph speed limit on Church Lane from the east most point for a distance of 70m beyond the current system of street lighting, (ii) Any objections to the revocation of the existing 40mph speed limit and resultant introduction of a 30mph speed limit should be considered and resolved by the Area Highways Manager (NW) in consultation with the Divisional Member and Chairman, and that this issue only be returned to Committee if any objections prove insurmountable, (iii) Once any objections have been considered and resolved, that the order be made and the 30mph speed limit be introduced.
<p>9</p>	<p>Two new petitions on parking in Lightwater</p>	<p>The Local Committee received two signed petitions requesting the Local Committee provide additional parking spaces in Lightwater.</p> <p>One of the petitions read “To ask Surrey County Council to investigate the viability and options to increase the number of parking spaces in Lightwater Village Centre”.</p> <p>The proposals reviewed by Surrey Highways Officers related to the older petition and included works on private land and conversion of footway into carriageway. Both aspects of that proposal had significant financial implications that could not be considered by the Local Committee at the current financial situation.</p> <p>The newer petition, as explained by the petitioner, wanted to look at lower cost options and review the use existing on street parking spaces and waiting times. It was agreed that the petition response would be deferred to the next meeting to allow the local Councillor and the Parish Council to meet with the parking team.</p>
<p>10</p>	<p>HIGHWAYS UPDATE</p>	<p>The Local Committee received a report on progress made with the delivery of proposed</p>

Decision Notice

		<p>highways schemes, developer funded schemes, and revenue funded works for the 2016/17 financial year.</p> <p>The report also provided a summary of Operation Horizon’s successes so far and to gave Members the opportunity to choose over 2km of roads to be included in Horizon 2.</p> <p>The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) agreed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Note the progress with the ITS highways and developer funded schemes, and revenue funded works for the 2016/17 financial year. (ii) Agree the proposed capital works programme for 2017/18 shown in table 4 at section 2.4. (iii) Consider the selection of schemes under the influence of the Local Committee for inclusion in the Horizon 2 Roads Major Maintenance Programme, delegating authority to the Area Highway Manager in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman and Vice Chairman to finalise the list of schemes for inclusion by 31st January 2017 (namely the first 5 schemes on the list). (iv) Note the budgetary position. (v) Note that a further Highways Update will be brought to the next meeting of this Committee.
--	--	--

<p>11</p>	<p>HGV REVIEW - CHOBHAM, WINDLESHAM AND LIGHTWATER</p>	<p>The Local Committee received an online petition, signed by 508 local residents on 10 March 2016 requesting the Local Committee to stop the increase of heavy HGV’s through the villages Windlesham and Chobham.</p> <p>The petition read “<i>The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead have decided to impose a weight restriction on Chobham road Rail Bridge of 7.5 tonnes. This will have a significant effect on the safety of residents in Windlesham and Chobham and increase the number of heavy goods vehicles using Windlesham and Chobham, diverting away from Chobham Road. This</i></p>
------------------	--	--

Decision Notice

		<p><i>change would not have happened if Windlesham and Chobham had been in the Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead and not in Surrey.</i></p> <p><i>We call on SCC to urgently complete a strategic review of the impacts this decision will have on both Windlesham and Chobham, particularly the safety and health of residents and to agree what measures are necessary to protect residents and motorists.”</i></p> <p>The recommendations in the report were made to reduce the impact of HGV on residents in the identified areas. Consideration had been given to the impact a weight limit would have on the surrounding area.</p> <p>The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) agreed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) To the progression, design and installation, of a 7.5T weight limit within Windlesham, as per Annex B, as part of the ITS schemes for 2017/18. (ii) To the progression, design and installation, of a lower 7.5T weight limit along High Street (A3046) as part of the ITS schemes for 2017/18. (iii) Not to progress with a weight limit on Chertsey Road (A319) and progress with alternative options to improve the junction with High Street (A319) and Windsor Road (B383)
<p>12</p>	<p>PARKING REVIEW</p>	<p>Officers of Surrey County Council’s parking team have carried out a review of on street parking restrictions within the borough of Surrey Heath and identified changes which would benefit road safety and reduce instances of obstruction and localised congestion.</p> <p>Committee approval is required in order to progress these changes to the stage of ‘formal advertisement’, where the proposed restrictions will be advertised for 28 days and open to comments, support or objections from members of the public.</p> <p>The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) agreed that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the proposed amendments to on-street parking restrictions in Surrey Heath as

Decision Notice

		<p>described in this report and shown in detail on drawings in annex A are agreed – to also include the amendment to Evergreen Road and the small extension in Glamis Close.</p> <p>(ii) the local committee allocates funding as detailed in paragraph 5.1 of this report to proceed with the introduction of the parking amendments.</p> <p>(iii) the intention of the county council to make an order under the relevant parts of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to impose the waiting and on street parking restrictions in Surrey Heath as shown on the drawings in annex A are advertised and that if no objections are maintained, the orders are made.</p> <p>(iv) if there are unresolved objections, they will be dealt with in accordance with the county council's scheme of delegation by the parking strategy and implementation team manager, in consultation with the chairman/vice chairman of this committee and the appropriate county councillor. An additional member may be invited for comment.</p>
<p>13</p>	<p>A30 AND MEADOWS HIGHWAYS SCHEME</p>	<p>The Committee received a report to update them on the business case for the A30/ Camberley Town Centre Highway Improvements. The business case to the Enterprise M3 Local Enterprise Partnership is currently scheduled for submission in the summer of 2017. The current work programme has been built around this deadline.</p> <p>The proposals will require a public consultation which has been arranged for an 8 week period commencing in January 2017 and ending in March 2017 to fit in with the timescale for submission of the business case.</p> <p>Unfortunately, no Officer was available to present the report and as Members felt the proposals were not clear, they felt unable to agreed the recommendations. However, Members did not want to see the consultation process delayed as they supported the making of a LEP bid in the Summer.</p> <p>The Local Area Committee (Surrey Heath) agreed to:</p> <p>(i) Delegate authority to the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Committee at an informal meeting and the Transport Policy Project Manager to agree the</p>

Decision Notice

		consultation material.
14	MEMBERS ALLOCATION REPORT	<p>Surrey County Council Councillors receive funding to spend on local projects that help to promote social, economic or environmental well-being in the neighbourhoods and communities of Surrey. This funding is known as Members' Allocation.</p> <p>For the financial year 2016/17 the County Council has allocated £10,296 revenue funding to each County Councillor. The report provided an update on the projects that have been funded since April 2016 to date.</p> <p>The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) noted the amounts that have been spent from the Members' Allocation budget, as set out in Annex 1 of the report.</p>
15	FORWARD PLAN	<p>The Local Committee received a report on the forward plan and members were asked to review the plan and comment on the items that are currently anticipated will be received.</p> <p>The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) noted the forward plan outlined in the report.</p>
A1		
A2		